
CA Protective Parents Association Newsletter

CA Protective Parents Association

<cpga001@aol.com>

Reply-To: cpga001@aol.com

Thu, Aug 7, 2014 at 4:02 PM

CA Protective Parents Association August 2014

Dear Friends,

IMMEDIATE ACTION NEEDED before Thursday August 14!

Three important bills authored by CA Senator Jim Beall, are in suspense in the CA legislature Appropriations Committee.

SB 924 extends the civil Statute of Limitations to age 40.

SB 926 extends the criminal Statute of Limitations to age 40.

SB 838 protects sex abuse victims from social media bullying and requires treatment for juvenile sex offenders

For more information on the bills: <http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billSearchClient.xhtml>

We need to make our voices known right away. Please make phone calls and/or send letter/emails to the committee asking the bills be passed off suspense. Assemblymember Gatto is the chair of the committee and ultimately decides what will happen to these bills. Here is his district

<http://asmcd.org/members/a43/district/district-map>

Members to Contact	Fax #	Office	Email
Mike Gatto (Chair)	916.319.2143	916.319.2043	assemblymember.gatto@assembly.ca.gov
Raul Bocanegra	916.319.2139	916.319.2039	assemblymember.bocanegra@assembly.ca.gov
Ian C. Calderon	916.319.2157	916.319.2057	assemblymember.calderon@assembly.ca.gov
Susan Talamantes Eggman	916.319.2113	916.319.2013	assemblymember.talamantes-eggman@assembly.ca.gov
Jimmy Gomez	916.319.2151	916.319.2051	assemblymember.gomez@assembly.ca.gov
Sebastian Ridley-Thomas	916.319.2152	916.319.2052	assemblymember.ridley-thomas@assembly.ca.gov
Chris R. Holden	916.319.2141	916.319.2041	assemblymember.holden@assembly.ca.gov
Richard Pan	916.319.2109	916.319.2009	assemblymember.pan@assembly.ca.gov
Bill Quirk	916.319.2120	916.319.2020	assemblymember.quirk@assembly.ca.gov
Steven Bradford	916.319.2162	916.319.2062	assemblymember.bradford@assembly.ca.gov

Nora Campos	916.319.2127 916.319.2027	assemblymember.campos@assembly.ca.gov
Shirley N. Weber	916.319.2179 916.319.2079	assemblymember.weber@assembly.ca.gov
Franklin Bigelow	916.319.2105 916.319.2005	assemblymember.bigelow@assembly.ca.gov
Tim Donnelly	916.319.2133 916.319.2033	assemblymember.donnelly@assembly.ca.gov
Eric Linder	916.319.2160 916.319.2060	assemblymember.linder@assembly.ca.gov
Don Wagner	916.319.2168 916.319.2068	assemblymember.linder@assembly.ca.gov
Brian Jones	916.319.2071 916.319.2071	assemblymember.jones@assembly.ca.gov

UPCOMING EVENTS

August 16, 2014 from 5:00 PM to 7:00 PM (EDT) THE CHILDREN'S JUSTICE CAMPAIGN (CJC) Inaugural Benefit in Watermill, New York. CJC is a non-profit organization dedicated to obtaining media attention highlighting the injustices committed by the US Family Court system on the innocent children involved. Hosted by Kelly Rutherford and Patrice Lenowitz, co-hosted by Christy Brinkley, Lauren London, Mashonda Tifrere, and Samantha Yanks, with entertainment by Henry Hull. Tickets are \$150 purchased in advance at <http://www.eventbrite.com/e/cjc-inaugural-benefit-tickets-9680525713>

August 15-17, 2014 The 17th Annual Ritual Abuse, Secretive Organizations and Mind Control Conference
DoubleTree near Bradley International Airport, 16 Ella Grasso Turnpike Windsor Locks, CT
<http://ritualabuse.us/smart-conference> This conference brings together survivors and professionals to expose and stop this alarming type of abuse.

August 22-23, 2014 Iowa Preventing Abuse Conference on Human and Sex Trafficking, Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
<http://www.preventingabuse.org/about.html> Linda Marie Sacks and Connie Valentine spoke on judicial trafficking at the Tampa Preventing Abuse Conference.

September 6, 2014 from 10:00 am - 3:00 pm First Convention on Rights for Women and Children, San Diego CA.
This historical meeting launches the International Organization for Women and Children, which is dedicated to ending discrimination against and oppression of women and children and enforcing their rights to stay together and stay safe.
<http://www.rightsforwomenandchildren.org>
<https://www.facebook.com/events/1445593205707441>

September 7-10, 2014 (and affiliated training Sept 4-6) 19th Annual International Violence Abuse and Trauma (VAT) conference. <http://www.ivatcenters.org> This conference has many, many excellent speakers. However, some speakers have not been supportive of the advocacy goal to proactively protect abused children of divorce and their mothers from domestic violence and sexual abuse.

September 19, 2014 Judicial protest in San Francisco, CA. The Center for Judicial Excellence and CA Protective Parents Association are spearheading a statewide judicial protest about the Broken Branch of California Government at the Judicial Council of California <http://www.courts.ca.gov/policyadmin-jc.htm> in San Francisco from 11:00 am to 1:00 pm. We encourage participants to please bring personal photos and/or brief stories about their court ordeals to share on a "Judicial Wall of Shame" that will be created during the Protest to document the atrocities and injustices being committed in the "Broken Branch of California Government." <http://centerforjudicialexcellence.org/cje-projects-initiatives/upcoming-events>

Protective mother Kathy has been demonstrating at the San Diego court for over 2 years. For more information, contact <http://www.safekidsinternational.org>

Protective mother Rama will begin demonstrating at the Marin County Courthouse. For more information, contact <http://centerforjudicialexcellence.org>

If you want change in the judiciary, please join us!

October 1 - 2, 2014 Mothers of Lost Children March and Lobby Day in Washington DC. October is Domestic Violence Awareness month. The march will be on October 1, National Safe Child Day, from 11:00 am to 3:00 pm. Please wear a scarf if you fear judicial retaliation. We will have a speak out, march around the White House and then march to the Department of Justice for a protest to insist on action on the 286 cases that were provided to the DOJ for investigation on January 15, 2014. A presentation on sex trafficking of children is planned for Oct 1 evening (location and time to be arranged). Speaker and author Gypsy Marie Roberts, expert on sex trafficking, and singer Peter Love will present and perform. On October 2, we will go to Congress to continue educating members and demanding action. Hostelling International Washington DC is inexpensive and centrally located. You can also stay with other protective mothers at local hotels and split the cost.

Here is the youtube of our wonderful October 1, 2013 DC march, videotaped by Kathy Lee and edited by Jack.
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LmacdAquneQ&feature=em-upload_owner

Despite a decade of meetings and presentations to officials at the local, state and federal branches of government, there has been very little effective action to protect abused children of divorce or to investigate a possible criminal ring that seems to be fueling a crime spree. Children are still being betrayed and wounded in droves.

- Their first wound is physical and/or sexual abuse, often inflicted by their fathers.
- Their second wound is being interviewed, scrutinized, tested, examined, poked and prodded, and subjected to disbelief by multiple court affiliates. The crime scene is essentially trampled on by mental health workers.
- Their third wound is being given visitation with the person who beat or raped them, who then tampers with the victim witness.
- Their fourth wound is waiting in anticipatory dread during months or years of scorched-earth court terror designed to bring their protective mother to her knees financially and emotionally. With no money, no attorney, no court reporter, no way to get rid of a biased judge, and no effective appeal, the outcome is inevitable.
- Their fifth wound is to be removed from their safe parent, usually their mother, which breaks the incredibly important attachment bond.
- Their sixth wound is to be placed with their identified dangerous parent. 'The beatings will continue until morale improves' is not a joke for children in these types of prisoner of war camps.

- Their seventh wound is having their subsequent disclosures of abuse ignored.
- Their eighth wound is that having to see their safe mothers under supervised conditions.
- Their ninth wound is, if their mother dares to object, they are not allowed to see their mother at all.
- Their tenth wound is they are misdiagnosed with a host of mental and behavioral problems created by the previous nine wounds, sent to therapists who try to convince them the crimes never happened, and given psychotropic medication.

And we taxpayers get to pay for those deliberate injuries to our children. Please come to the demonstration.

Promise

Here's what was promised in 2010, the first year we began demonstrating.

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2010/10/27/event-end-violence-against-women> These ideals have not trickled down to protect and rescue abused children of divorce. They are living in abusers' homes that are worse than prisoner of war camps. Officials still turn a blind eye to their suffering. It is unconscionable.

Progress

We see some progress. Our marches and demonstrations have not gone unnoticed. We need to remember that throughout history, brutal men thought they owned women, children, and slaves. Slavery didn't end in a day. Like the abolitionists, we will succeed in gaining safety and freedom for women and children, especially abused children of divorce.

Action

We have hope. Barry Goldstein's meticulously-researched book *The Quincy Solution: Stop Domestic Violence and Save \$500 Billion* is coming out this September. It shows how Quincy, San Diego, and Nashville tackled their courts and won. Join Barry's mailing list and stay up to date on how you can help implement the Quincy Solution: <http://eepurl.com/UtNiP> Barry was also invited to speak at the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) and National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges roundtable discussion on Aug 6 and 7, 2014 concerning the problems with evaluators in domestic violence custody cases and the failure to protect battered mothers and their children. Even though he is not a member of the American Psychological Association or a psychologist, Barry was selected by the prestigious APA to provide four presentations at their national convention.

<http://stopabusecampaign.com/feature/encouraging-news>

In 2013, Congressman Lloyd Doggett's Protect Our Kids Act It was signed into law, the year after we began to lobby Congress. <https://www.govtrack.us/congress/bills/112/hr6655>. It established a commission to develop a national strategy and recommendations for reducing fatalities resulting from child abuse and neglect. Focused on CPS, this commission may help the preventable deaths of abused children of divorce. As a side benefit, perhaps the commission will work to reduce the suffering of the innocents who manage to stay alive. Things are already changing in Los Angeles where nearly 600 children died in less than 2 years and a leaked document is helping bring reforms. <http://documents.latimes.com/report-severe-problems-los-angeles-county-department-children-and-family-services>

In 2014, the National Partnership to End Interpersonal Violence Across The Lifespan (NPEIV) issued a public policy statement on the family court crisis, thanks to the legal help of Wendy Murphy.

<http://www.npeiv.org/#!/policy-statements/c1mqm>

Family Court Crisis Policy Statement by National Partnership to End Interpersonal Violence (NPEIV)

January 1, 2014

Introduction/Background:

Situations involving domestic violence, allegations of child maltreatment and child custody, can be complex. There are many factors to consider, including prioritizing child safety over parental rights if parents are abusive.

Family courts (also known as divorce, domestic, probate) are state-based and there is variation across states regarding how cases are handled. Nevertheless, NPEIV's public policy action team has identified three key areas of focus that raise many questions that affect all states. We are particularly concerned about the rights of children because they generally lack individual standing to be heard. We believe the status of children should be expanded to ensure protection of their rights to safety.

Children are entitled to many of the same Constitutional rights granted to adults, including, but not limited to, freedom from cruel/unusual punishment, involuntary servitude, governmental restraints, and the right to bodily integrity.

NPEIV's Public Policy Action Team has identified the following public policy statements:

1. To end interpersonal violence across the lifespan, children must be safe in their homes. Research demonstrates that long term harm is done to children who live with and/or witness domestic violence and sexual abuse. The outcomes include physical injuries, behavioral disorders, traumatic reactions, and often lifetime illnesses, along with a reduced life expectancy. NPEIV is committed to federal legislation to ensure that child safety from violence and abuse is the first and highest priority in all state and federal court determinations.
2. An unknown number of children are placed in the sole or joint custody of alleged domestic violence perpetrators or child abusers. NPEIV supports the enactment of federal legislation to establish an effective oversight mechanism, such as a Violence Against Children division within the Department of Justice, in collaboration with the Department of Health and Human Service's Administration of Children and Families, to review high profile cases, in which children have been placed with alleged perpetrators of violent or abuse crimes, as well as statutes and policies that may lead to children being placed in situations that have potential risks. The purpose of such an oversight entity would be to assure Federal monies are not spent increasing harm to children. A centralized entity would serve as a repository of longitudinal data on incidence and prevalence rates among children who are ordered to live with alleged perpetrators. This oversight entity would have responsibility for establishing best practices to ensure the prioritization of a thorough law enforcement and child protective services investigations, and child safety during all investigations and determinations related to children.
3. The third issue is federal funding that negatively impacts child safety. For example, child support legislation has resulted in abusers seeking and gaining sole or joint custody to avoid paying child support. Federal grants and legislation should be review to make sure that federal funding priorities ensure child safety and due process. Grants can offer incentives to states to disconnect child support from parenting time, to presume children of a certain age will be allowed to testify in legal proceedings in the absence of clear and convincing proof that testifying will cause them harm, to utilize the civil preponderance of evidence standard of proof to ensure child safety, and to lower the standard of judicial review in cases where allegations of abuse are made such that the appellate court reviews the matter "de novo" or under a "plain error" rule rather than "abuse of discretion".

Given that the mission of the NPEIV is to focus on the impact of trauma across the life-span, we believe that the focus of child custody dispute cases should integrate the concepts of trauma-informed practices to address decisions that impact children's safety and development. Models should be reviewed in various states that represent best practice approaches to family court procedures and decision-making, and these findings should be used in judicial training and public awareness approached

ARTICLES

MON JUN 30, 2014 **Making Washington Hear the Children Forced to Live With Abusers** by MCMoewe

Speaking to a group of parents struggling to protect their children, a White House advisor assures the room of mostly women that she understands courts are giving abusers custody of children and she is working to address the problem.

"I read about custody evaluators who weren't looking at evidence of abuse, even when it was actually in the file," Lynn Rosenthal, the White House Advisor on Violence Against Women said during the May 2013 event. She had been reading studies gathered by multiple agencies on the family court system's treatment of domestic violence and abused children. "I read about all of these third parties in the court who are using work that is not evidence-based and not reflective of the experiences of battered moms. I was really reminded back to the early days of the Violence Against Women Act, when we started looking at the criminal courts and Vice President Biden held these hearings 20 years ago and recognized that the justice system was failing and we needed to put in place these principles of victims' safety and offender accountability.

"I don't think I realized how much we were failing those principles in the family court system until I began these readings."

For those attending the 10th annual Battered Mothers Custody Conference last year, it was a rare moment of recognition by a U.S. government official that courts were forcing children into abusive relationships.

Two months earlier, President Obama had signed the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act. Rosenthal explained that a portion of that legislation was written with the family court system in mind. "The success of the Violence Against Women Act is to fund initiatives, evaluate them and then replicate them around the country," Rosenthal said. "We want to do that in the family court system."

To read more: <http://www.dailykos.com/story/2014/06/30/1310536/-Making-Washington-Hear-the-Children-Forced-to-Live-With-Abusers>

MON JUL 14, 2014 **'Mandated Reporters Fear Retaliation, and Their Fear is Legitimate': Tom Ridge** by MCMoewe

After two preschool children indicated their father was abusing them and one child tested positive for a sexually transmitted disease, a health care professional treating the youngsters followed her state's mandatory reporting law - but now she's the one in trouble.

"They act like I made it all up," the professional, who agreed to be interviewed on the condition of anonymity, said of her state licensing board. "I have lost business and I'm having trouble getting back into a couple of insurance networks."

She's confident she made the right decision to report the suspected sexual abuse but is baffled why a state agency has joined the alleged abuser in questioning her motives. "Less than five percent of children who report sex abuse are telling lies," said the professional.

Child psychologists and others who work to protect abused children say this is a common scenario - they report abuse and suffer retaliation when the alleged abuser files a complaint against them. They say the actions taken to punish them by government agencies speak louder than the mandatory child abuse reporting laws.

In Pennsylvania, where former Penn State football coach Jerry Sandusky escaped detection for years while molesting multiple children, several politicians have pointed to the state's treatment of Dr. Jim Singer as part of the reason why. "As a concerned psychologist and mandated reporter, Mr. Singer spoke out," Pennsylvania State Sen. John N. Wozniak wrote on March 5, 2013, to State Attorney General Kathleen Kane. "What happened to him after he did might certainly have had a chilling effect on the reporting of child abuse and allowed perpetrators like Jerry Sandusky to remain unchallenged."

Last month, a news story on the conservative CNS News pointed to Singer's case as one reason

why Pennsylvania has the lowest rate of reported child abuse in the nation. "Pennsylvania remains a statistical outlier in when it determines a child has been a victim of child abuse - 1.2 per 1,000 Pennsylvania children were victims of child abuse in 2011 whereas nationally 9.1 per 1,000 children were victims," according to a letter written by a child advocacy group to state legislators.

To read more: <http://www.dailykos.com/story/2014/07/14/1313785/-Mandated-Reporters-Fear-Retaliation-and-Their-Fear-is-Legitimate-Tom-Ridge#>

MON JUL 28, 2014 **Lawyers Who Criticize Judges Are Being Punished - Jonathan Turley** by MCMoewe

One is a California family law attorney documenting alleged judicial crimes, the other a Pennsylvania civil rights attorney who has lost his law license for speaking out against judges. Both say they will continue to do what most lawyers won't.

"They don't speak up. The reason is you get targeted and you could lose your license," said Barbara Kauffman of lawyers who witness judicial misconduct. Last month the California attorney contacted state officials alleging that a family court judge in Marin County tampered with court records. Civil rights attorney Don Bailey had his law license suspended for five years in October by the Pennsylvania Supreme Court. "The reason I lost my license is because I criticized judges," said Bailey, a former Democratic Congressman and state auditor general, in a phone interview last week.

The pattern of attorneys losing their careers or facing hefty fines after speaking out against judges has legal experts worried. The law professor and legal analyst Jonathan Turley wrote of Bailey's license suspension, "While some would agree with the case, there is a worrisome line of cases targeting lawyers who criticize judges."

America's judicial system is extremely ineffective at removing bad judges, said Kathleen Russell, the founder of the Center for Judicial Excellence, a non-profit that is working to stop family court judges from giving child custody to domestic abusers and pedophiles. "Judges are judicially trafficking children to abusers by ignoring evidence of child abuse. Even when judges behave maliciously, there is no law that holds them accountable."

Over the past 40 years, court rulings have given judges increasingly strong immunity from civil suits under the principle that judges shouldn't be sued by anyone unhappy with their decisions in court. Most notable is the 1978 Supreme Court decision *Stump v. Sparkman* that rejected a suit filed against an Indiana judge who ordered a 15-year-old sterilized without her knowledge.

To read more: <http://www.dailykos.com/story/2014/07/28/1317251/-Lawyers-Who-Criticize-Judges-Are-Being-Punished-Jonathan-Turley#>

Wed Jul 16, 2014 **Screams in the dark: US courts trafficking children** By Brett Redmayne-Titley
At a court hearing on June 27, 2014, nine-year-old Lexi Dillon wants to go home to her mother, Ruby. Judge Glenn R. Salter has previously shown his contempt for Lexi's well-being, child protection laws, required court process, and evidence in the many court hearings and his subsequent illegal rulings. All evidence proved that Ruby was a loving, caring mother; that foster care was abusing her daughter; and that the father had repeatedly abused Lexi, as proved by the Tustin, CA, Police Department.

Judge Glenn R Salter refused to allow any of Ruby's evidence, multiple expert witnesses, or police investigators into his court to testify. He then ordered Lexi to be in the sole custody of her criminal father.

The father is the man who raped Lexi. Anally and vaginally.

What?!

Criminal courts

The ultimate horror. Corrupt US judges are using their courtrooms to kidnap children from innocent parents. For profit.

Immoral judges serve as vital tools for this disgusting example of limitless American greed and capitalism. Criminal US judges operate with immunity, disregarding laws, eliminating evidence, shattering constitutional protections, and ignoring mandatory courtroom procedures.

They should be in prison.

US courts kidnap thousands of innocent children every year, forcing them from the arms of loving parents into an on-going developmental life of child abuse, rape, child molestation, forced drug-induced sedation, behavioral modification, and long-term childhood incarceration all at the hands of employed pedophiles. Many foster care "professionals" have criminal records, yet administer "protection" to children that would normally be defined as criminal "child abuse."

Once a court removes the child from the parent, however, the real parent ceases to have virtually any legal rights at all to help or protect their child. The courts take away all custody rights of the parents and make legal all manner of judicial, constitutional, physical, and emotional crimes. All are sanctioned, supported, condoned, and ordered by the same corrupt court that first stole the child from the parents.

This is a national American problem. It would not exist except for greed. Horrific stories such as those of Ruby Dillon and her daughter, Lexi, and that of Tammy Rief and her son, Jonah, are tragic examples of pervasive widespread US court corruption. Sadly, these examples are repeated again and again, year after year in virtually every US state. These parents' stories highlight this growing national tragedy.

To read more: <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2014/07/16/371497/screams-in-the-dark-injustice-in-us>

July 19, 2014 **From womb to tomb: Child slavery and US courts**, by Brett Redmayne-Titley

US family courts are stealing children from the loving arms of innocent parents.

In this ongoing exclusive report for Press TV, the previous examples of Ruby Dillon and her daughter Lexi have show that Child Protective Services (CPS) has no interest in "protection" of children. Its sole motivation is personal profit.

No child is safe.

Down the road in San Diego County a young boy is missing, taken by a man who is not the father, not even a parent. Innocent mother Tammy Rief, who is from Georgia and has never lived in California, now sits in a California prison. Despite an Alabama court order keeping the kidnapper away from her child, and another court order giving Tammy, the mother, full custody, a California judge abused his court to steal her son, Jonah, from his home in Georgia and give him to a man documented to have abused her son.

Without Tammy Rief's knowledge Judge Gregory W. Pollack was working in far away California with the kidnapper, Brian Sullivan, to have the FBI steal her and her son from Georgia.

This short story of injustice and child trafficking, applied to a San Diego Superior Court judge, Gregory W. Pollack, shows the unique, fraudulent and illegal applications of California law in favor of kidnapping.

For Tammy losing her son, Jonah, started silently in an unknown court not in Georgia; California divorce court.

Tammy has never been married. Much less to anyone in California. Tammy had briefly dated the kidnapper, Brian Sullivan, in South Carolina but had not heard from him in over three years before he suddenly attempted to achieve custody of Jonah in her state of residence, Georgia. Tammy proved to the Georgia court that the kidnapper could not be the biological father and that Jonah was at risk to the kidnapper. Hence the judge issued the two restraining orders establishing full custody to Tammy and preventing the kidnapper anywhere near Jonah.

But, that was Alabama.

Undaunted, the kidnapper somehow got a California divorce court judge to award him custody of

Jonah although he had never been married to Ruby or taken a paternity test. The California court had no jurisdiction, or legal right, to hear a divorce case or any case that did not have a connection to California law and California residency. Worse, Ruby did not receive notice, per law, of the out-of-state proceedings she had no knowledge of.

It turns out that California divorce court is also a favorable place to steal children. The judge awarded custody of Jonah to the kidnapper, Brian Sullivan. Armed with this fraudulent California court decision the kidnapper was off to an even more favorable court, that of San Diego's finest judge Gregory W. Pollack. Using the divorce court decision and his own unsubstantiated, self-administered paternity test the judge secretly gave his client custody of Jonah, despite the Alabama court orders to the contrary.

To read more: <http://www.presstv.com/detail/2014/07/18/371805/child-slavery-and-us-courts>

OTHER ITEMS

If you are interested in helping with **California research**, here is your opportunity.

Purpose: The purpose of this research is to conduct a Pilot study about the protection of children in the current California family court system for the RES 1100 course, to research the opinions of others in this subject. This project is being conducted by Michelle Mercurio, who is a graduate student of Saybrook University, as part of the RES 1100 Practicum course.

Please contact Michelle Mercurio mkmercurio@hotmail.com if you are interested in taking her brief survey.

Principal Researcher:

Michelle Mercurio

PO BOX 1318

Sacramento, CA 95812

mkmercurio@hotmail.com

(This is different from the national research being conducted by CPPA and Geraldine Stahly PhD. If you want to participate in that 101 question survey, please contact cpha001@aol.com)

A very clear synopsis of appeals in CA can be found at:

<http://newsandviewsriversidesuperiourcourt.wordpress.com>

Elsa Newman is not going to be collecting stories of mothers who have been incarcerated.

We are teaming up with technical experts from Stop Abuse Campaign to produce this newsletter twice a month, so it can be shorter and prettier. Please feel free to give feedback!

Connie Valentine
CA Protective Parents Association

[Forward this email](#)



CA Protective Parents Association | P. O. Box 15284 | Sacramento | CA | 95851

